



**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TE ROOPU PAEHERE  
COMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS,  
MANUKAU ROAD, PUKEKOHE ON TUESDAY 22 SEPTEMBER  
2009 COMMENCING AT 10:00AM.**

---

Committee Members:

Mr D Ngataki (Ngaati Tamaoho) (Chairperson),  
Councillors D Robertson, and J Hayman,  
Mr K Flavell (Ngaati Te Ata), Miss R Mahuta (Huakina Development Trust),  
Mrs T Wilson (Ngaati Paoa), Mrs L Tukua (Ngaati Karewa/Ngaati Tahinga),  
Mr S Zister (Ngai Tai Umupuia) and Mr R Kumar (Ngati Naho).

Ex-officio Members:

The Mayor M Ball, Councillor D Morrison (Alternate).

Index

Item	Page No
1. Apologies .....	2
2. Confirmation of Minutes .....	2
3. Revival Of Maori Wardens In Franklin Area .....	2
4. Local Services Mapping Action Plan .....	3
5. Draft Social Wellbeing Policy .....	5
6. Alternate Te Roopu Paehere Appointment To Activity And Monitoring Standing Committee Representative .....	10
7. Growing Up In New Zealand, Research Study - Auckland University .....	11
8. Overview Of Maori Submissions To The Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 .....	12
9. Dual Language And Signage .....	14
10. Future Items for Consideration .....	19

---

## OPENING KARAKIA

---

### 1. APOLOGIES

---

### 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE TE ROOPU PAEHERE COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 21 JULY 2009:

---

#### Recommendation of Chief Executive:

That the minutes of the meeting of the Te Roopu Paehere Committee held on Tuesday 21 July 2009 be confirmed.

### 3. REVIVAL OF MAORI WARDENS IN FRANKLIN AREA

---

Report of Maori Committee Support Officer (File I750):

**Constable Tony Tumai, Counties South Iwi Liaison Officer, of the New Zealand Police, and Ms Marie Ann Selkirk will be in attendance at the meeting for this item at 10.00 am.**

*For more information on this report, please call Sally Koia, phone 237 1304, extension 485.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b> (ref Community Outcome or Activity Plan)	A safe, active and healthy community
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The purpose of this report is to introduce Constable Tony Tumai as the Counties South Iwi Liaison Officer, and Ms Marie Ann Selkirk, and allow both to present their roles and responsibilities to Te Roopu Paehere.
- Constable Tumai and Ms Selkirk will present an update on a joint push to revive Maori Wardens in the Franklin area. This report also provides Te Roopu Paehere the opportunity of discussing the matters of interest regarding Maori Wardens in the Franklin area.

- The subject of this report is not considered significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy.

**RECOMMENDATION OF MAORI COMMITTEE SUPPORT OFFICER:**

That the report be received.

	INITIALS
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>SK</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

**4. LOCAL SERVICES MAPPING ACTION PLAN**

Report of Senior Policy Analyst (File C450-19):

See Attachment 1.

**Ms Angie Tangaere, Regional Relationship Manager, Family and Community Services, will be in attendance at the meeting for this item at 10.30 am.**

*For more information on this report, please call Marion Davies, phone 237 1368.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b> (ref Community Outcome or Activity Plan)	Community Outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A safe and healthy community</li> <li>• An inclusive community</li> <li>• An educated community</li> </ul>
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Services Intervention Policy
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- The purpose of this report is to present an update on the Local Services Mapping (LSM) project, which is an initiative of Family and Community Services, a service of Ministry of Social Development.
- Three priority areas were identified in the Franklin District Community Report 2008 prepared by the LSM Mapping project. An initial documentation of community services in the District has now been undertaken. A draft action plan is now in the process of being developed.
- Ms Angie Tangaere, Regional Relationship Manager, Family and Community Services, will present an update of the LSM project, including the development of an action plan.

## **BACKGROUND**

LSM is an initiative of Family and Community Services, a service of Ministry of Social Development. This is a three phase process where central and local government agencies and community organisations, identify social priorities in a local council area and develop an associated action plan.

A report was produced in 2008 which identified three priority issues for the Franklin District Council area which were:

- Young people – including appropriate facilities and services.
- Family Violence – including lack of appropriate services.
- Social service sector development – including funding and capacity building.

## **ASSESSMENT**

Phase two of the LSM Project aims to develop an action plan to address the identified issues for the district. Monthly meetings are now being held with representatives from the major central government agencies, the chair of Franklin Regional Council of Social Services and chair of the Safer Communities Council and Franklin District Council policy team. Central Government agencies include Police, Work and Income, Child Youth and Family, Housing New Zealand, Ministry of Youth Development and Counties Manukau District Health Board. Mrs Te Ao Marama Wilson is the Te Roopu Paehere representative at these monthly meetings.

An initial documentation of services in the District in relation to the three priority issues has been undertaken and a draft action plan is in the process of being developed. Gaps in the provision and co-ordination of youth services in the District, continues to be a major focus for the LSM project.

Ms Angie Tangaere, Regional Relationship Manager, Family and Community Services will present an overview of the LSM project, including the development of an action plan and the ongoing Te Roopu Paehere committee involvement in the project.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

There are no financial implications at this stage of the process.

## **LEGAL AND LEGISLATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

### **Consistency With Council Policy/Plans**

This report is consistent with the services intervention policy and the identified roles for Council involvement.

### **Application Of Significance Policy And Decision-Making Provisions**

The matters in this report are not considered significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance 2009.

## **Application Of The Local Government (Tamaki Makaurau Reorganisation) Act 2009**

Section 31 of the above Act does not apply to the matters under consideration in this report.

### **CONCLUSION**

The Local Services Mapping Project is a three phase project which identifies the social priorities for a council area.

The project is now at the stage of developing an action plan for the District.

### **RECOMMENDATION OF SENIOR POLICY ANALYST:**

That the report be received.

	<b>INITIALS</b>
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>MD</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

## **5. DRAFT SOCIAL WELLBEING POLICY**

Report of Acting Manager, Policy (C450-16):

See Attachment 2.

*For more information on this report, please call Marion Davies, phone 237 1368.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b> (ref Community Outcome or Activity Plan)	Community Outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A safe and healthy community</li> <li>• An inclusive community</li> <li>• An educated community</li> </ul>
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Services Intervention Policy
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- The purpose of this report is to present the draft Social Wellbeing Policy for consideration by Te Roopu Paehere.
- The report provides an opportunity for Te Roopu Paehere, as a group, to consider the key issues, roles and actions in the draft Social Wellbeing Policy.
- Feedback has been obtained from the Te Roopu Paehere representative, Mrs Te Ao Marama Wilson, on the draft policy.

- The topic of this report is not considered significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy.

## **BACKGROUND**

The Strategy and Policy Committee endorsed the development of a Social Wellbeing Policy at its March 2009 meeting. The purpose of a social wellbeing policy is to place Council in a strong position to support the District's key social issues and social policy advocacy.

The development of a draft policy has been undertaken through:

- Researching other Council's roles in relation to social wellbeing.
- Developing a District profile utilising statistics on issues such as ethnicity, age, education, economic factors and perceptions of safety.
- Conducting a Council workshop in May 2009 to clarify Council's current and preferred role in the area of social wellbeing.
- Conducting an external workshop in June 2009 with 16 people from key community agencies to discuss Council's role in the social wellbeing area.
- Convening an internal staff group to discuss appropriate areas for involvement in social wellbeing.
- Conducting meetings with relevant staff to confirm particular actions, e.g. the Safer Communities Team.

## **ASSESSMENT**

The Local Government Act 2002 defines the purpose of local government in section 10, as *"to promote the social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being of communities in the present, and for the future."*

The Franklin Community Outcomes process identified several outcomes in relation to social wellbeing and has led Franklin District Council to become involved with community activities. Three Community Outcomes have been identified as the key areas of focus for the policy:

- Franklin: a safe and healthy and active community.
- Franklin: a culturally vibrant and inclusive community.
- Franklin: an educated and enabled community.

A Council workshop was held in May 2009 which endorsed activities and actions that Council already undertakes in the area of social wellbeing. The workshop also identified additional roles that Council could undertake in this area and this has formed the basis for the draft Social Wellbeing Policy. An external workshop was then held in June 2009 for the District's social service representatives, including a representative from Te Roopu Paehere and Huakina Development Trust. The workshop identified two additional

issues which they considered important for Council to take a role in. These were Council taking a co-ordination role for social services, particularly in relation to the dissemination of information, and young people having a voice in decision-making.

The workshop also identified that there was a need for an inclusive definition of social wellbeing in the policy. A diagram has been included which shows the linkage between the four wellbeings in the Local Government Act and Professor Mason Durie's concepts of Hauora or total wellbeing. This diagram has been used courtesy of Christchurch City Council "Healthy Promotion and Sustainability Through Environmental Design: A Guide for Planning". Feedback has also been received from the Te Roopu Paehere representative that there needs to be a strong link between the Social Wellbeing Policy and a Council Economic Development Strategy. This link is particularly related to Council actively encouraging employment in the District. Other feedback included the inclusion of families and whanau in Council's consultation processes.

The draft Social Wellbeing Policy endorses:

- i. Council's commitment to funding activities, including pensioner housing, Enterprise Franklin Development Trust and Franklin Arts Culture and Library Trust.
- ii. Prioritising allocated funding to Council's strategic priorities, including support for the "No Exceptions Zone" and implementing the Graffiti Action Plan.
- iii. Council's commitment to the Road Safety Action Plan, and Counties Manukau Action project, "Lets Beat Diabetes" campaign, and the Positive Ageing Expo.

New actions identified in the draft policy that Council could lead are:

- Establishing a youth council to assist with decision making on issues which affect young people and to increase their participation.
- Holding a Youth Forum as part of the public consultation for the Annual Plan.
- Supporting Youth Week activities in the District by holding a Mayoral Youth Award ceremony to recognise and celebrate outstanding young people in the District.
- Council's website including a community services page with links to community activities and services.

The draft policy proposes two areas for increased activities. These are outlined below.

### **Youth Actions**

Two local forums identified youth engagement as a gap for the District. The Local Services Mapping report, completed in 2008 by the Ministry of Social

Development, identified youth participation and engagement in youth facilities as a gap. The June 2009 workshop held for social service representatives also identified youth participation in Council decision-making processes as a possible action for Council. They recommended the establishment of a Youth Council.

Franklin District Council has now successfully gained funding from the Ministry of Youth Development to set up a Youth Advisory group to examine the design of a local park, so that more young people are encouraged to use it. This project could support and inform the establishment of a Youth Council initiative. New funding in the 2010/11 Annual Plan could be allocated to set up a more formal Youth Council, to examine a range of issues, not only recreation.

Two other suggested youth initiatives include holding a Youth Forum to present the Council's draft 2010/11 Annual Plan to young people to gain their feedback on Council's activities; and staging a Youth awards ceremony to recognise young people's achievements in the District. Papakura District Council currently hold a Youth Awards ceremony, where young people organise the event and vote for the recipients of the awards. This is a highly successful event which gains a lot of publicity. Both initiatives would require staff time but only minimal funding for items such as food and publicity.

### **Co-ordination of Services**

This initiative involves utilising a designated area in the Customer Service Centre to promote "social service" messages, e.g violence-free communities and deaf awareness week. This recognises the large number of walk-in customers the Council receives. A recent survey revealed that 1,000 people visit the customer service centre in an average week. The proposed refurbishment of the customer area could include a designated area for community services notices, requiring no additional funding. The Healthy Lifestyle Group would also promote their monthly calendar of events including "Mens Health" and "Healthy Lifestyles". This would only require minimal staff time and no additional funding to co-ordinate the display.

### **Provision of Social Services Information**

Social service representatives have recommended that Council establish a web page on Council's website to promote accredited social services in the area. The aim would be to provide information to new residents and those requiring information to access key services in the District in an easily accessible way. The web link would enable contact with agencies across websites. It would need to maintain credibility and any community service featured on this page would need to have Child Youth and Family accreditation or other central government approval or endorsement, as a reliable and safe community service.

### **Timeframes**

The draft policy is now available for public comment until the end of September 2009. The draft policy is also available on the Council's website. Key community stakeholders are being asked for their comment, including

those who attended the external workshop.

The policy will be taken to the November 2009 Strategy and Policy Committee, with any revised changes and recommendations for new initiatives.

The November 2009 report will include information on the required funding and the timeframes required for any potential new projects, such as the Youth Council and Youth Awards, in time for inclusion in the draft 2010/11 Annual Plan.

**Monitoring and Review**

The final adopted policy would be reported back on in one years time.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The draft Social Wellbeing Policy has been developed through research and analysis of the District’s profile, identifying relevant Community Outcomes and capturing policies which already align with these outcomes.

A Council workshop was undertaken in May 2009 and an external workshop was held in June 2009, to gain key social service stakeholders input. The potential new actions recommended in the draft policy relate to youth participation, including establishing a Youth Council and a Young People’s awards ceremony. The other new action endorses Council’s role in disseminating information and publicising social service providers in the District.

The policy is now available for public consultation and will be presented to the November 2009 Strategy and Policy committee meeting for final adoption. The report presents the draft policy for consideration by Te Roopu Paehere.

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF SENIOR POLICY ANALYST:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That Te Roopu Paehere provides feedback on the draft Social Policy, which will be presented to the November 2009 Strategy and Policy Committee.

	<b>INITIALS</b>
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>MD</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

## 6. ALTERNATE TE ROOPU PAEHERE APPOINTMENT TO ACTIVITY AND MONITORING STANDING COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVE

Report of Maori Committee Support Officer, Sally Koia (File I750):

*For more information on this report, please call Sally Koia, phone 237 1304, extension 485.*

<b>Statute</b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b>LTCCP</b> (ref Community Outcome or Activity Plan)	Representation Page 49
<b>Policy/Delegations</b>	Committees and Delegation Manual
<b>Monitoring</b>	Te Roopu Paehere Brief
<b>Budget Impact</b>	Not applicable
<b>On Account</b>	Not applicable

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- The purpose of this report is to formalise the appointment of Miss Rangī Mahuta as alternate Te Roopu Paehere representative to the Activity and Monitoring Standing Committee. Mrs Te Ao Marama Wilson is the current representative.
- Te Roopu Paehere representatives are expected to attend meetings of Council and the Strategy and Policy Committee and the Activity and Monitoring Committee as in integral part of their role on participating in Council's decision-making processes.
- The matters in this report are not considered significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance 2009.

### BACKGROUND

- This report is based on discussions held at Te Roopu Paehere meeting on 21 July 2009, to ensure that an alternate representative be put in place for the appointed member who may not be able to attend the Activity and Monitoring Standing Committee meetings at times.
- The Activity and Monitoring Committee considers matters directed to it by Council, the Forum or management covering the following activities:

Civic	Policy and Communication	Civil Defence	Economic Development	Tourism
District Promotion	Information Centres	Roading	Regulatory (except RMA matters)	Building
Water	Waste Water	Rural Fire	Solid Waste	Stormwater

Land Drainage	Recreation and Aquatic Centre	Grants and Donations	Swimming Pools	Open Space Amenities
Pensioner Housing	Hall, Sports Grounds	Auckland War Memorial Museum (Reports), Museum of Transport and Technology (Reports)		

The following would not go to the Activity and Monitoring Committee:

- Annual Plan reporting, monthly and quarterly financial and performance report monitoring, and approving changes to strategy which is undertaken by Council.
- District Plan Changes which are dealt with by a Special Hearings Committee.

The Activity and Monitoring Committee has delegated authority to decide any matter relevant to its activities.

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF MAORI COMMITTEE SUPPORT OFFICER:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That Te Roopu Paehere formalise the appointment of Miss Rangī Mahuta as the alternate representative to the Activity and Monitoring Committee.

	<b>INITIALS</b>
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>SK</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

**7. GROWING UP IN NEW ZEALAND, RESEARCH STUDY - AUCKLAND UNIVERSITY**

Report of Maori Committee Support Officer (File I750-14):

See Attachment 3.

*For more information on this report, please call Sally Koia, phone 237 1304, extension 485.*

<b>Statute</b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b>LTCCP</b> (ref Community Outcome or Activity Plan)	Safe, Active and Healthy Community
<b>Policy/Delegations</b>	Te Roopu Paehere Brief
<b>Monitoring</b>	Not applicable
<b>Budget Impact</b>	Not applicable
<b>On Account</b>	Not applicable

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- The purpose of this report is to allow the Ngati Naho representative Mr Raymond Kumar, to present a research study led by the University of Auckland. It is called ‘Growing up in New Zealand’ (see Attachment 3).
- At Te Roopu Paehere meeting of 21 July 2009, Mr Kumar sought permission to present an item of “Growing up in New Zealand” and Te Roopu Paehere supported his request.
- This report will also allow Te Roopu Paehere to discuss and/or make comments.
- The matters in this report are not considered significant in terms of Council’s Policy on Significance 2009.

**BACKGROUND**

‘Growing up in New Zealand’ is a research project that entails finding out what it is like to grow up in New Zealand in the 21<sup>st</sup> century and involves studying the same group of people over time (see Attachment 3).

**RECOMMENDATION OF MAORI COMMITTEE SUPPORT OFFICER:**

That the report be received.

	INITIALS
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>SK</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

**8. OVERVIEW OF MAORI SUBMISSIONS TO THE LONG TERM COUNCIL COMMUNITY PLAN 2009-2019.**

Report of Strategic Planner, Paul Southwell (File I750-14):

See Attachment 4.

*For more information on this report, please call Paul Southwell, phone 237 1378.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b> (ref Community Outcome or Activity Plan)	Significant Assumptions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cultural Changes page 31</li> </ul>
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- The purpose of this report is to provide an overview of Maori submissions received for the Council's Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 (LTCCP).
- The attachment lists summaries of all submissions identified as coming from Maori. It also outlines Council's response. For more detail on submissions and the full LTCCP process please refer to the Council minutes of C/LTCCP/26-05-09, and copies of the submissions.
- It should be noted that this summary of submissions refers only to written submissions. There are other forms of involvement in Council decision making that were available and used to some extent to represent Maori concerns. These include:
  - Hui or focus groups as part of the pre consultation phase prior to the draft LTCCP being adopted.
  - Attendance at the many public meetings around the district during the Special Consultation Process. Any issues can be raised at these meetings which are attended by the Mayor and Councillors.
  - Oral presentations at the hearings conducted immediately prior to Council's considerations of the submissions.
- It is also worthy to note that the Maori Liaison Officer spent considerable time and effort encouraging submissions to this process which has most likely assisted in improving the level of submissions from previous LTCCP processes.

## **BACKGROUND**

The Te Roopu Paehere meeting held on Tuesday 21 July 2009 provided Te Roopu Paehere with a table listing the total number of Maori submitters and key issues that they submitted on. At this meeting, a request was made to provide a further report with an overview of Maori submissions received by the Council regarding the LTCCP.

## **ASSESSMENT**

Not applicable. Information provided (see Attachment 4).

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Nil.

## **LEGAL AND LEGISLATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

### **Consistency with Council Policy/Plans**

Consistent with LTCCP 2009-19.

**Application of Significance Policy and Decision Making Provisions**

The matters in this report are not considered significant in terms of Council’s Policy on Significance 2009.

**Application of the Local Government (Tamaki Makaurau Reorganisation) Act 2009**

Section 31 of the above Act does not apply to the matters under consideration in this report.

**CONCLUSION**

The detailed summary of Maori submissions to the LTCCP 2009-19 is given in the attachment. These submissions may not reflect the full range of Maori influence on Council decision making as Hui, public meetings, and hearings were also available for Maori consultation throughout the LTCCP process.

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF STRATEGIC PLANNER:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That Te Roopu Paehere consider the nature and level of Maori submissions to the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-19 process and determine their satisfaction or otherwise at the result.
- c. That Te Roopu Paehere consider how Maori can be further encouraged to become involved in Council decision making.

	<b>INITIALS</b>
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>PS</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>SK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

**9. DUAL LANGUAGE AND SIGNAGE**

Report of Group Manager:Strategic (File I 750/14/2009):

*For more information on this report, please call insert Cathy Kenkel, phone 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Maori Official Language Act
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b> (ref Community outcome or Activity Plan)	A place of special character and healthy natural environment
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Heritage Strategy and Implementation Plan
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	As determined by Te Roopu Paehere
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Will be done within current Open Spaces and Roding budgets.
<b>On Account</b>	

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

- The purpose of this report is to provide Te Roopu Paehere with the results of the discussion from the 21 July 2009 meeting about the Dual Language priorities and potential projects.
- The 21 July 2009 meeting discussed the criteria and the potential list of projects that could be considered.
- The report recommends that Te Roopu Paehere discuss Belmont and Pokeno development areas at this meeting.

## **BACKGROUND**

- Both Te Roopu Paehere and Council have adopted resolutions supporting the project to ensure that Maori place names and signage in the District are reflective of Maori history and presence.
- This is supported by the fact that the Maori Language is one of the two official languages of New Zealand. A recent survey by Te Puni Kokiri found that government organisations had a variable response to the use of Maori language.
- It is noted that the increased use of Maori language for signage is one of the recommended actions included in the Heritage Strategy adopted late 2008, which has wide community support.

## **ASSESSMENT**

### **Options**

Te Roopu Paehere has looked at the matter of using Maori language for signage and road naming at a number of previous meetings. The 21 July 2009 meeting accepted that the following criteria be adopted for the assessment of options for action:

- The signage will assist non-Maori understanding of the area or site.
- The signage will support Maori to commemorate and demonstrate respect for the history of the area or site.
- The signage is able to be installed under current Council and national signage policies (accepting that relevant Council policy may be reviewed during 2009/10).

In terms of prioritisation of potential actions, the following table sets the areas that were considered:

<b>Renaming</b>	<b>New Names</b>	<b>Dual Language Sites And Signs</b>
Te Pou O Mangatawhiri – one of many areas along the Waikato River with unacknowledged Maori names	New developments – Pokeno streets and parks  Belmont – streets and names	FDC website and FDC facilities and Council building
Mercer		Reserves within subdivisions – need to identify potential names
Do stocktake of all park names?		New civic buildings eg Franklin: The Centre
Miranda		Interpretative signage on parks and historic sites

Three actions were proposed as a result of the discussion and the associated agenda item on Road Renaming already underway in the District.

1. That a half-day workshop be held for key staff on kaitiakitanga in August (possibly using the cancelled Te Roopu Paehere meeting date of 25 August). Mr Dennis Ngataki and Mr Raymond Kumar were to prepare a workshop outline for consideration by the Group Manager: Strategic.
2. The project managers for the Belmont and Pokeno developments were to be invited to the September meeting to discuss the MOU's for these areas, and the potential for the use of appropriate Maori names in these developments.
3. In addition, Mr Ngataki to follow up on Council's Transport Unit Manager request for suggestions for Old State Highway Two (Mangatawhiri Rd preferred) and Lowry Road (Bombay) extension (possibly Pukekura?).

There were a number of issues raised during the discussion. These matters are not necessarily within the mandate of staff to resolve, but are listed and discussed below.

Decision-making: The naming of roads is done through a process whereby suggested names (usually provided by the developer) are put forward to New Zealand Post for consideration, however, staff make the final decision. Parks are named through a variety of processes – by Council upon purchase, often recognising the immediate previous owners of the property or to acknowledge a local community leader. This means that

Te Roopu Paehere are not decision-makers in this matter but are advisors.

Te Roopu Paehere expressed concerns over the effect if a recommended name, with mana and meaning, is not accepted. This is seen as a process which could denigrate important Maori names and history.

Identification of the significance of the name: While much thought may go into selecting a preferred name which is subsequently accepted, this is not a guarantee that future generations will understand the significance of the chosen name.

Te Roopu Paehere suggested that there could be links on Franklin District Council's website to Maori names with notes about the name; or there could be a link on the Franklin Arts, Culture and Library Trust website to information held by them.

Influencing the process: the naming of roads and parks and other sites is done via a variety of channels and processes.

Te Roopu Paehere suggested a number of Council processes which could be used to ensure that the use of Maori language and names would be fostered:

- Clear policy guidelines.
- Being aware of the Memoranda of Understanding that Council has with developer groups.
- Including the requirement in consent conditions.
- Enlisting political support and awareness of the project and for particular names.

Intellectual property: Concern was raised over how to protect the rights to a name once it has been put in the public domain on a sign.

Te Roopu Paehere was concerned that names would be used out of context in the future.

## **Consultation**

There has been no consultation with the community about this project or on the use of any particular names or potential sites. However, there is support for the project in the Heritage Strategy 2008 which includes the increased use of Maori language and names in signage across the District

It is recommended that TRP consider this matter during the course of the project.

## **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The advice from Councils' Open Spaces and Transport Unit Managers is that all renaming and new names can only be done inside current budgets, which are not large.

The areas of highest potential are for early involvement in new developments, and interpretative signage on local parks.

## **LEGAL AND LEGISLATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

### **Consistency With Council Policy/Plans**

The recommendations are consistent with the Heritage Strategy and the Road naming Policy.

### **Application Of Significance Policy And Decision-Making Provisions**

The matters in this report are not considered significant in terms of Council's Policy on Significance 2009.

### **Application Of Local Government (Tamaki Makaurau) Reorganisation Act 2009**

Section 31 of the above Act **does not** apply to the matters under consideration in this report.

## **CONCLUSION**

Te Roopu Paehere is continuing work on the Dual Language and Signage project. The 21 July 2009 meeting proposed a set of criteria and a proposed list of projects for Te Roopu Paehere's consideration.

The report brings back a summary of the discussion held at the 21 July 2009 meeting, with a proposal for further work on this project. It is expected that Belmont and Pokeno development areas in particular be discussed at this meeting. The relevant project managers are in attendance.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS OF GROUP MANAGER: STRATEGIC**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That Te Roopu Paehere discuss the development areas of Belmont and Pokeno and provide advice to the project managers on potential road and park names.

	<b>INITIALS</b>
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>GL &amp; DI</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

## 10. FUTURE ITEMS FOR CONSIDERATION

Report of Maori Committee Support Officer (File I750-14):

*For more information on this report, please call Sally Koia, 237 1304 ext 485.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002, sections 76 to 81;
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, section 46A
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	District Governance, pages 5 and 6
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Te Roopu Paehere Committee Brief
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not significant
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Monthly update to Te Roopu Paehere Committee
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to:

- Introduce topics for consideration for further discussion at future Te Roopu Paehere Committee meetings; and
- Review progress on topics accepted by Te Roopu Paehere Committee for further consideration.

Topics may arise through referral from:

- Council or a committee of Council;
- Council working parties or individual Elected Members;
- Community Board or other community representative groups;
- Individual members of the community; and/or
- Chief Executive and Council officers

Topics may concern:

- Policy matters arising from reviews of Council policy and/or operations;
- New or changing legislative requirements;
- Strategic issues facing Council, the District and/or Region;
- Matters of customer response and satisfaction; and/or
- Dialogue with communities of interest.

In most cases, the decision/issue presented in the topic for further discussion or referral will not be significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy at this stage of the decision-making process.

The overall intent of introducing topics to the Te Roopu Paehere Committee is to provide:

- a mechanism for Council to elicit community view at the time *"problems and objectives related to the matter are defined"* (Local Government Act 2002 (LGA), section 78(2)(a); and
- an opportunity for Council to assess its decision making approach under the LGA, section 79. Such assessment may occur over more than one Te Roopu Paehere Committee discussion.

This report will contain only a brief introductory proposition on the topic to be considered for further discussion by Te Roopu Paehere Committee and/or referral on for consideration elsewhere.

### **Outcome Required**

Decision as to whether items requiring policy or operational review, referred by Council and/or committees, sponsored by individual Councillors, arising from community initiative or emerging from legislative change or operational activities, should be included on a future Te Roopu Paehere Committee agenda for further discussion or referred to Council, a committee or the Chief Executive for deliberative action.

### **Discussion**

#### **Topics**

Topics to be referred to Te Roopu Paehere Committee should be referred through the Group Manager: Strategic to facilitate the item's presentation on an agenda

#### **New Topics**

#### ***Criteria for Considering Topics for Referral***

When considering a topic for referral, the Committee may wish to look at why the issue is important to the Franklin District and what resources may be required to address the issue. Broadly, issues should concern:

- policy matters arising from reviews of Council policy and/or operations;
- new or changing legislative requirements;
- strategic issues facing Council, the District and/or Region;
- matters of customer response and satisfaction; and/or,
- dialogue with communities of interest on the above issues.

For new topics, the intention is for Te Roopu Paehere Committee to make an informed decision on whether to include the topic on a future Committee/Council agenda and the broad parameters for further work by staff.

New topics will be presented as a question for Te Roopu Paehere Committee to consider. The person or organisation referring the topic will be identified with contact details, and where applicable (usually in relation to an external community member or group) a sponsoring party will also be named.

**Topics Accepted: Status Update**

- Affordable Papakaainga Housing expanded to include sustainable public housing: It is included in the policy teams future work planning – report October 2009
- Civil Defence Emergency Marae Consultation Report: Deferred to 27 October 2009
- Further Report: Rating of Marae in Franklin District – 27 October 2009
- Report: Outcomes and recommendations resulting from “Violence in the Home” workshop – request made from 21 July 2009 meeting. Refer recommendation ‘b’ of Maori Committee Support Officer.

**Process from Here**

Te Roopu Paehere Committee is requested to approve/amend the new topic items as outlined in this report. Amendment may be the inclusion of items presented to Te Roopu Paehere Committee for the first time at this meeting through this report.

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF MAORI COMMITTEE SUPPORT OFFICER:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That Report on Outcomes and recommendations resulting from “Violence in the Home” workshop be deleted
- c. That Te Roopu Paehere Committee directs that the following items be included on a future Te Roopu Paehere Committee Agenda:
  - i. ....
  - ii. ....
  - iii. ....

	<b>INITIALS</b>
<b>Report writer</b>	<b>SK</b>
<b>Peer review</b>	<b>CK</b>
<b>Support Officer (GM or CE)</b>	<b>CK</b>

S Davis  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE