



**AGENDA FOR A MEETING OF THE TE ROOPU PAEHERE  
COMMITTEE TO BE HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS,  
MANUKAU ROAD, PUKEKOHE ON TUESDAY 26 AUGUST 2008  
COMMENCING AT 10.00 AM.**

---

Committee Members:

Dennis Ngataki (Ngaati Tamaoho) (Chairperson),  
The Mayor M Ball,  
Councillors D Morrison, D Robertson, and J Hayman,  
Karl Flavell (Ngaati Te Ata), Sonny Parata (Huakina Development Trust),  
Te Ao Marama Wilson (Ngaati Paoa), Lucy Tukua (Ngaati Karewa/Ngaati  
Tahinga), Stephen Zister (Ngaai Tai Umupuia) and Aareka Hopkins  
(Ngati Naho).

Index

Item	Page No
1. Apologies .....	2
2. Confirmation of Minutes .....	2
3. Request for Advice on a Maori Name for the Franklin Arts, Culture and Library Trust .....	2
4. Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 Preparation and Project Planning.....	3
5. One Plan Update and Consultation .....	13
6. Development Contributions – Principles and Current Status .....	18
7. Consideration of Strategic Direction and Work Programme .....	19
8. Dual Language Naming and Signage .....	23
9. Te Roopu Paehere Proposed Kaihua-Wharekawa Visit - October 2008.....	30

**OPENING KARAKIA**

**1. APOLOGIES**

**2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

**2.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE TE ROOPU PAEHERE COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 27 MAY 2008**

**Note: These minutes have previously been circulated with the Council Agenda for 26 June 2008.**

**Recommendation of Chief Executive:**

That the minutes of the meeting of the Te Roopu Paehere Committee held on Tuesday 27 May 2008 be confirmed.

**2.2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE TE ROOPU PAEHERE COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 22 JULY 2008**

**Recommendation of Chief Executive:**

That the minutes of the meeting of the Te Roopu Paehere Committee held on Tuesday 22 July 2008 be confirmed.

**2. REQUEST FOR ADVICE ON A MAORI NAME FOR THE FRANKLIN ARTS, CULTURE AND LIBRARY TRUST**

Report of Group Manager: Strategic (File A450-07):

*For more information on this report, please call Cathy Kenkel, 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Statutory preparation
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Group Manager: Strategic
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable at this time
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Council
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Strategic Planning
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	11 05 01

**Executive Summary**

- This report is in response to the request by the newly established Franklin Arts, Culture and Library Trust (new Trust) for guidance on creating a Maori name for the new Trust.
- This issue is not significant in terms of Council’s Significance Policy.

**Background**

The Franklin District Library Trust (FDLT) and the Franklin Arts and Culture Trust (FACT), are being dissolved, and a new Trust called the Franklin Arts Culture and Library Trust is currently being registered. This new entity will take over responsibility for the activities of the previous two Trusts.

Te Roopu Paehere has been approached by Robert Burke, General Manager of FDLT, to provide advice on the provision of a Maori name for the new Trust.

Two Trustees from the newly established Trust, Kiriwai Flavell and Charmaine Pountney, will be available at the meeting to discuss the matter.

**Conclusion**

This report is in response to the request by the newly established Franklin Arts, Culture and Library Trust for guidance on creating a Maori name for the new Trust.

**Recommendation of Group Manager: Strategic:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That Te Roopu Paehere Committee provides guidance to the newly established Franklin Arts, Culture and Library Trust on the creation of a Maori name for the new Trust.

<b>Approved</b>	
Peer Reviewer	-
Direct Support Officer	CK

**3. LONG TERM COUNCIL COMMUNITY PLAN 2009-2019  
PREPARATION AND PROJECT PLANNING**

Report of Group Manager: Strategic (File L500-02-03):

See Attachment 1.

*For more information on this report, please call Cathy Kenkel, 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Statutory preparation
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Group Manager: Strategic
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable at this time
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Council
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Strategic Planning
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	11 05 01

**Executive Summary**

- Planning for the preparation of the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 (LTCCP 2009-2019) is well underway.
- This report brings the following update to Te Roopu Paehere for information and comment:
  - the LTCCP 2009-2019 project timeline;
  - the scope of the policy reviews;
  - draft assumptions for use by staff in preparing the LTCCP 2009-2019;
  - the Groups of Activities and Activities list;
  - the structure of the document.
- Matters of particular interest to Te Roopu Paehere in the LTCCP 2009-2019 preparation can be discussed at this meeting, and included in the work programme which is a separate report on this agenda.
- This issue is not significant in terms of Council’s Significance Policy at this stage of the process.

**Background**

The LTCCP 2009-2019 is a document which provides the long-term plan to implement Council’s strategic vision and articulates how Council contributes to what the community has identified as important to its well-being. As such, the LTCCP 2009-2019 is an important tool for Councillors to work with their community to implement the community outcomes.

Council’s LTCCP 2009-2019 project is well underway. The objective is to build on the comprehensive work done for the 2006 document, and make improvements where there is a gap.

The aspects of the LTCCP 2006-2016 staff are proposing should be kept are:

- Clear readability and conciseness of information.
- The groupings of council activities such as ‘Leading the Franklin District’, or ‘Growing the Economy’ etc. These groupings are formally known as Groups of Activities (GOAs). For 2009, these have the same titles, with

some shuffling around of the activities which sit underneath each Group of Activities.

- Clear graphics showing the funding sources and proportional spend for each activity.
- The two volume approach, the first having the outcomes, activities, services and funding; the second having the underlying policies and assessments and audit report. Staff are proposing some differences – such as the inclusion of the required community outcomes report in Volume 1, and some discussion on the assumptions underlying the planning (just where is still to be determined).

After auditing the 2006 LTCCPs across the country, the report of the Office of the Auditor General (OAG) noted several areas for improvement:

- need for clarity about what a sustainable development approach is;
- lack of clear performance information and linkages within the documents;
- need for more robust asset management planning;
- financial planning needing to be clearer to the community.

Taking the OAG views into consideration, an internal review of Council's LTCCP 2006-2016 has identified the following areas that could be improved on for 2009:

- making clearer linkages at the Group of Activities level within the document between the 'what we do', and the 'why we do it'; in other words, between Council activities and the community outcomes;
- providing more information about how much and to what degree Council has contributed to the objectives of the community outcomes. Council holds a considerable amount of information on what it does, but does not have the structure or processes in place to identify the impacts of what it does. It is a legislative requirement that Council is able to do this;
- setting out a clear decision-making process which identifies how Council has reached its decision, and taken the four well-beings into account in reaching its decisions. This is the sustainable development approach, which is set out as a principle in section 14(h) of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA), and set out as a requirement of the decision-making process in section 77 of the LGA;
- continuous improvement of Asset Management Plans.

The following work has been completed:

- Councillor discussion on strategic intent and processes;
- a level of services review;

- establishment of a cross-council Steering Group of senior managers across the organization;
- the self-assessment;
- feedback from auditors on the self-assessment and audit plan;
- a project plan which addresses risks, has an issues register, sets out the timelines between July 2008 and June 2009.

The following work is underway:

- the preparation of draft Activity plans and Asset Management plans;
- policy reviews underway on the Development Contributions Policy, Revenue and Financing Policy, and Activities and Services Policy. The scope of each of these is outlined further on in this report in the section called 'Scope of Policy Reviews';
- performance framework development work begun;
- development of a process for prioritization of capital and operational expenditure.

The proposed consultation approach is in draft and will come to Te Roopu Paehere for consideration at its September 2008 meeting.

### **Project Timeline**

The project plan has three objectives:

1. to ensure that the gaps are addressed to the best of resource capacity;
2. to deliver a robust and readable document that delivers on the strategic objectives of the community and Council;
3. to ensure that the audit process goes smoothly and positively.

The following is the proposed timeline for the preparation of the LTCCP 2009-2019. The key idea is that it works towards discussing key matters with partner groups and organisations during September to November 2008, in preparation for a 'pre-draft' coming to Councillors prior to December 2008, to allow for preparation for a Councillor workshop on the material in January 2009. The plan then allows time for the necessary audit process, and the required Special Consultative Procedure process during the first half of 2009.

The following timeline is being considered at the upcoming Council meeting on 28 August 2008.

<b>LTCCP 2009-2019 Project timeline 28 August 2008</b>		
<b>Month</b>	<b>Tasks, goals</b>	<b>Status</b>
July 2008	Self-assessment complete, Levels of Service review provided to managers, initiate policy review work, complete draft Asset Management Plans. Initial work on assumptions and self-assessment with Councillors. Issues register established to capture staff, public and Councillor concerns.	Workshop 3 July – completed. Other tasks completed (some AMP's more draft than others)
August	Scope and approach to LTCCP/consultation plan approved by Councillors	Workshop 7 August, Council meeting 28 August
September	issues, prioritization, policy development (Revenue and Financing, Activity and Services, Development Contributions), consultation with partners on specific issues	Workshop 4 September, Council meeting 25 September
October	Performance information workshopped, policies approved, Community Outcomes draft report for discussion, draft Activity plans to Councillors, consultation with partners on specific issues	Workshop 2 October, Council meeting 23 October
November	Council approves Activity Plans as the basis for LTCCP 2009-2019 – these will have performance information, Levels of service, major deliverables over the next 10 years with costs	Workshop 6 November, Council meeting 27 November
22 December	Pre-draft version presented to Councillors	Council meeting
28/29 January 2009	Councillor workshop on pre-draft provided to staff	Workshop 28 and 29 January 2009 after Auckland Anniversary weekend
10 February	Adopt draft for Audit purposes (draft Statement of Proposal)	10-12 February Council meeting
19 March	Adopt draft for consultation (final Statement of Proposal)	19 March Council meeting
April	Consultation period	2 April to 1 May
May/June	Hearings and deliberations	27-28 May Hearings, 2-4 June Deliberations
25 June	Adopt LTCCP 2009-2019	25 June Council meeting

### **The Scope of Major Policy Reviews**

Preparing the LTCCP 2009-2019 allows for Councillors to stand back from the day to day business of Council and review why Council undertakes activities for example, such as economic development, and what role it wishes to play. This is the scope of the Activities and Services Review. During this process, Council will be asked to consider why it undertakes

each activity – for example, why it funds the Enterprise Franklin Development Trust (EFDT), or why it enters into partnerships with the community – and what role it wishes to take in each activity – for example, whether it wishes to be a funder or provider or partner. For some activities, Council's role is clear, eg is it a regulator, but it still has options as to how it goes about meeting those regulatory requirements. Council may not wish to make radical changes in its approach, but the process will assist in providing clarity about what Council is expecting to see in the draft LTCCP 2009-2019.

This review time also allows for Councillors to review its financial policies, the key one being the Revenue and Financing Policy. This policy sets out the decisions Council has made about who benefits from the activities and services council undertakes, and how each activity will be funded (eg from rates or loans or development contributions). The current policy has some identified gaps, and Council has engaged some external expertise to assist in preparing the Revenue and Financing Policy that will underpin the LTCCP 2009-2019 budgetary and funding decisions. A review of the Funding Policy and Fees and Charges will come after the Revenue and Financing Policy review.

The Development Contributions Policy is also under review at this time and will come to Council for consideration later in the year.

### **Draft Assumptions**

Council should be able to identify the assumptions that underpin the LTCCP 2009-2019 document itself. Council has considered an early draft of the following underlying assumptions at a workshop on 3 July 2008.

It is intended that these assumptions remain draft until the end of 2008. There are external factors that will have an impact on the LTCCP 2009-2019, which will not be clear until late in the LTCCP 2009-2019 development process. However, the statements in this report are intended to be working assumptions which allows staff to prepare Activity Plans and budgets for consideration.

Council will need to consider whether it changes the assumptions in response to such matters as any future recommendations of the Royal Commission on Auckland Governance at such time as they are made public.

Draft assumption statements:

#### ***Political changes:***

*The Royal Commission of Inquiry into Local Government in Auckland may propose a structure for Auckland region that has significant impact on FDC. However, in the interests of continuing to plan for the well-being of the community, FDC has prepared this LTCCP 2009-2019 on the basis of the status quo.*

#### ***Environmental changes:***

*Climate change is a topic generating much discussion. Despite some uncertainty, Council considers it prudent to plan for commonly agreed changes*

*that are likely to affect the Franklin District. These include, but are not limited to: rising sea levels, increasing rainfall and average temperatures, and more extreme weather events such as flooding and drought.*

*The demand for communities to achieve higher and higher environmental standards continues. On this basis, Council has allowed for new standards foreshadowed by regional and national government but has made no provision beyond those. Legislation and standards likely to affect environmental standards includes National Climate Mitigation policies, and the proposed new Waste Minimisation legislation.*

**Social changes:**

*Franklin's community is expected to continue to diversify and change over the next 10 years. We expect a number of social trends to continue such as the number of households increasing faster than the population (in other words, people living in smaller groups). There will be a demand for a range of house sizes. We expect growth to average around 2-2.1% per annum, and are planning new developments as indicated in our District Growth Strategy. We have assumed that local authorities will continue to play a key role in promoting the social wellbeing of their communities.*

**Technological changes:**

*The impact of new and developing technologies generally enables things to be done better or more economically. Such changes will be incorporated into Council's business as the benefits to the community justify the cost. Our assumption is that technological developments will provide opportunities for the council and the district, particularly in the areas of broadband, water and wastewater management.*

**Resource management changes:**

*Three particular topics about the way we use our resources demand attention.*

- *Energy - including availability and cost of cheap oil products. We have made the assumption that energy (including transport) costs will continue to increase over the life of this LTCCP. This will affect the District economically and will also impact on Council's operating costs. We have assumed that reliability of supply will continue to be a major regional objective.*
- *Water - Franklin relies almost entirely on ground water. Growth and increased use will continue to put pressure on this supply, and Council will work over the life of this LTCCP to consider long-term options for supply and to manage demand of this resource.*
- *People - we have assumed that the shortage of skilled staff nationally will continue to affect both the District and Council in achieving the outcomes the community has agreed.*

**Legal changes:**

*The Local Government Act 2002 (Act) is currently under review, and may well be further reviewed in the future. For the purposes of the LTCCP 2009-2019, Council has assumed that the major requirements of the Act for consultation, decision-making and financial management will remain in place. We have also assumed that some major changes to the Resource Management Act 1991 are likely over the period of the LTCCP, and that Franklin will need to continue to work cooperatively within the region to achieve shared objectives.*

**Economic changes:**

*Franklin's economy is expected to change over the next 10 years, under the impact of industry reform, central government initiatives such as an Emissions Trading Scheme, cost of fuel increases and forestry changes to name a few foreseeable changes. Our economic framework assumes a continuing focus on our agricultural and horticultural sectors, as well as identifying future economic sectors for the district. We have assumed that the ongoing release of business land in the District, as indicated in the LTCCP 2006-2016 (366 hectares by 2016), and the provision of the necessary supporting infrastructure will be achieved.*

**Cultural changes:**

*Franklin sees itself as, and wants to remain, a largely rural District. However, ongoing rapid growth, and implementation of the District Growth Strategy will bring changes to the rural/lifestyle/urban mix within the District.*

*Council will continue to support increased participation by Maori in decision-making, and will continue to pro-actively engage with the diverse communities in the Franklin District.*

**Regional engagement:**

*We have assumed that engaging regionally and working co-operatively towards shared regional objectives in both the Auckland and Waikato regions will continue in some form, especially for environmental and transport matters for instance.*

*Franklin has a number of significant hazards across its district. An emergency management response structure for the district has been established and we have assumed that FDC will continue to participate in both Auckland and Waikato regional response planning initiatives.*

**Financial management:**

*Financial assumptions that underpin our financial policies for rates of inflation, treatment of depreciation for example, will be based on accepted local government sector standards.*

## **The Groups of Activities**

Groups of Activities is a term in the Local Government Act 2002, and a council must group what it does in ways that shows how such groups of activity contribute to community well-being and to community outcomes.

Staff are proposing that the Groups of Activities remain the same as the LTCCP 2006-016, eg Leading Franklin, Growing Franklin, Moving Franklin, Protecting Franklin etc.

Staff are recommending that the following information for each Group of Activities be made clearer:

- the activities within each group of activities;
- the rationale for delivery of the group of activities, including the community outcomes to which each group primarily contributes;
- any significant negative effects that any activity may have on the wellbeing of the community; and
- identification of the assets required by the group of activities.

The GOAs for the LTCCP 2009-2019 are based on the LTCCP 2006-2016 with the following changes:

- a summarising of some of the activities in each group, which reduces the Activity plans from 34 to 19;
- summarising of the services which support the activities;
- shifting some services from one activity to another eg suggest moving the Cemetery service from Community Facilities (which are mostly focused on active recreation) to Open Space;
- including Council support functions in the Support Group of Activities, and recognising that such functions contribute to the Community Outcome of an educated and informed community – for instance, by providing accurate information, or by clear agenda reports;
- addition of a Community Services Activity in the Living and Playing in Franklin GOA. This will have the service of the Safer Communities Council underneath it;
- adding Heritage to the Arts and Culture Activity heading.

**Staff are proposing the attached structure for the GOA/Activity/Service hierarchy (see Attachment 1).**

## **The Structure of the LTCCP 2009-2019**

Staff are proposing that the structure of the LTCCP 2009-2019 be based on the LTCCP 2006-2016. This means it will have two volumes, the first one with the Groups of Activities and Activities of Council, set out very much as

the 2006-2016 document. The second volume will have the policies which underpin the LTCCP 2009-2019 document.

There is a requirement that Council produce a report on progress towards the community outcomes – not just what Council has achieved, but how the whole community has made progress. This has the potential to be an extensive task, but for 2009 will be done within the resources and performance information we have available. Staff will be working closely with those who also influence progress towards outcomes such as relevant government agencies and community groups. It is intended that a short report on progress towards community outcomes will be included in the LTCCP 2009-2019. This will meet the statutory requirement for Council to report three-yearly on community outcomes.

### **Conclusion**

Council's LTCCP 2009-2019 project is well underway. The objective is to build on the comprehensive work done for the 2006 document, and making improvements where there is a gap.

This report has provided information on the following matters to Te Roopu Paehere for its consideration and comment. These matters are still draft, and are coming to Council on 28 August 2008 for consideration and decision. At this time, it is expected that Council will make decisions on:

- the LTCCP 2009-2019 project timeline. This plans to bring a 'pre-draft' LTCCP 2009-2019 to Councillors before Christmas 2008;
- the scope of the policy reviews. A summary of the scope of the Revenue and Financing, Activities and Services has been presented;
- draft assumptions for use by staff in preparing the LTCCP 2009-2019. These have been amended subsequent to the July 2008 Councillor workshop.
- the Groups of Activities and Activities list. These remain fundamentally the same as the LTCCP 2006-2016, with some refinements and summarization of details;
- the structure of the document. This will be a two volume approach, based on the LTCCP 2006-2016, with the addition of more information about each Group of Activities, and the inclusion of a Community Outcomes report.

### **Consistency with Council Policy/Plans**

The LTCCP 2009-2019 is based on a number of policies and strategies that Council has developed over the last few years. In addition, Council is currently considering a number of strategies which when adopted will need to be reflected in the draft LTCCP 2009-2019.

### **Recommendation of Group Manager: Strategic:**

- a. That the report be received

- b. That the Te Roopu Paehere Committee identifies key matters it wishes to discuss during the preparation of the draft Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 and includes it in the future work programme.

<b>Approved</b>	
Peer Reviewer	CK
Direct Support Officer	CK

#### **4. ONE PLAN UPDATE AND CONSULTATION**

Report of Group Manager: Strategic (File R495-30-06):

*For more information on this report, please call Cathy Kenkel, 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Not applicable at this time
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Group Manager: Strategic
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Potential impact on draft LTCCP 2009-2019
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

#### **Executive Summary**

- One Plan is the Auckland Region's first single, coherent strategic framework and plan of action for a sustainable future.
- It is being consulted upon in three stages, the first being with local authorities and with Maori through the functions of each local council.
- This report brings One Plan to Te Roopu Paehere as part of the first stage of the consultation. Matters identified by Te Roopu Paehere can be reported verbally to Council on 28 August 2008 by the supporting officer.
- This initial consultation period finishes 19 September 2008.
- This issue is not significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy.

#### **Background**

One Plan brings central and local government planning decisions together for the first time. It is being prepared under the authority and as part of the responsibility of the Auckland Regional Sustainable Development Forum.

It is a draft document based on the existing decisions of each participating agency, and the significant actions in the plan have already been subject to some form of community engagement and consultation for instance through the preparation of the Long Term Council Community Plan (LTCCP) 2006-2016.

However, a three stage consultation programme is being followed which recognizes that each local authority is preparing the LTCCP 2009-2019, and that some decisions will be reviewed during that process.

It is expected that consultation with Maori on this version of One Plan will be done by each local authority through established mechanisms for Maori engagement.

The three stages are:

1. Participating agencies and stakeholder engagement between 27 June and 19 September 2008.
2. Public consultation in late 2008, and through the first half of 2009 through each council's draft LTCCP 2009-2019.
3. Long term public engagement in developing future plans.

### **One Plan**

It is a draft document agreed between all the local authorities in the Auckland Region (Auckland Regional Council, Auckland City Council, Franklin District Council, Manukau City Council, North Shore City Council, Papakura District Council, Rodney District Council, Waitakere City Council) and central government and other agencies (Department OF Internal Affairs, Ministry of Economic Development, Ministry of Social Development, Ministry for the Environment, Ministry of Transport, Department Prime Minister and Cabinet, Mana Whenua, Environment Waikato, Northland Regional Council). A range of lead agencies are identified eg Auckland Regional Transport Authority (ARTA), ONTRACK, Transpower etc. It is guided by the principles in the Auckland Sustainability Framework.

A regional Mana Whenua Establishment Group is in the final stages of establishment, and has not commented formally on One Plan, as its current focus is the establishment of the Mana Whenua Forum. It is expected that the Mana Whenua Forum will have input into the development and delivery of future versions of One Plan.

One Plan 2008 concentrates on seven programmes of action:

1. **Improving public transport** – electrification of rail, extension of the reach of the rail network;
2. **Completing the network** – Western Ring Route completion, better land use/transport integration;
3. **Digital Auckland** – increase broadband access across the Region;
4. **Destination Auckland** – capturing the regional and long-term benefits from the Rugby World Cup;
5. **Central Business District/Waterfront** – arts, culture and business in the CBD and Waterfront areas;

6. **Building Communities** – Tamaki Transformation project as a model for developing sustainable communities eg Innovation precinct, and the Auckland-Manukau Eastern Transport Initiative (AMETI);
7. **Growth through skills** – increasing the regional skill base through work-based training, focusing on migrants, Maori and Pacific peoples, and students. Funding from central government.

### **Current Status of One Plan**

It has been adopted by the Regional Sustainable Development Forum as a draft for consultation with local authorities and key stakeholders during 27 June to 19 September 2008.

### **Implications for Council**

The Franklin District does not have a high profile in this first version. The information is based on existing infrastructure agreements and budgetary commitments by participating agencies. For local authorities, this means that information has been provided based on the LTCCP 2006-2016 and any subsequent major changes.

The following list sets out some implications for Council of each of the seven programmes of action in One Plan:

1. **Improving public transport** – Council is listed as contributing to operational costs (transport infrastructure such as bus shelters, parking adjacent to train station improvements, also cycle, walking improvements). There is a report coming to the August 2008 Council meeting on the Pukekohe train station improvement.
2. **Completing the network** (motorway and rail) – Council is not involved.
3. **Digital Auckland** – tapping into the national Broadband Investment Fund. The Enterprise Franklin Development Trust (EFDT) is working jointly with Council on broadband initiatives, preparing bids for funds by the end of this year (2008). Staff will be updating Council on this topic at the September 2008 Council workshop.
4. **Destination Auckland** – capturing the legacy value of the Rugby World Cup event eg venues, transport, ancillary events, volunteer programmes, programme support eg regional mapping. EFDT has identified Council's contribution, looking at existing budgets and plans, and a full report will be coming to Council later in the year. Council agreed, at its 24 July 2008 meeting, to include a working figure of \$211K in the draft LTCCP 2009-2019 towards regional programmes under this objective. It covers visitor services, economic development such as local procurement, informing regional businesses of the event impacts; communications including event theming, regional event research to measure the longer term benefits for the Region; and showcasing local sustainability initiatives.
5. **CBD/Waterfront** – not a focus for Council.

6. **Building communities** – not a focus for Council although it may be interested in some of the planning principles behind this initiative.
7. **Growth through skills** – this is still a programme in development, and most of the input and benefits will come through EFDT programmes.

The infrastructure schedule included in One Plan captures the traditional engineering infrastructure networks such as transport, water and wastewater, stormwater and energy.

The One Plan version out for consultation includes Council's infrastructure investment figures for water supply improvements, stormwater, and wastewater based on the LTCCP 2006-2016. These are subject to change through the LTCCP 2009-2019 development process.

Successive versions of One Plan will address a wider range of issues eg energy and climate change, public open space, built cultural heritage, social development, Maori and Pacific aspirations etc. No date for the next version of the One Plan has been set.

### **Consultation Feedback Requested**

The following questions are being posed to each council in the region.

1. The long-term vision for One Plan is:  
The ultimate One Plan outcome will be the integrated, long-term, sustainable development of the Auckland Region.
  - *Is this Draft One Plan, as a first step, taking us in the right direction to fulfil this vision?*
2. The One Plan programmes of action are based on current priorities.
  - *Are any priorities missing that you would like to see addressed in the next version?*
  - *Is greater emphasis or further development needed on the current priorities?*
3. In considering version 2, we have planned to develop an infrastructure plan to integrate, prioritise and apply sustainability to infrastructure investment.
  - *Do you support the proposal to include energy, transport and water in this plan?*
4. Considering future versions: One Plan intends to address future priorities through the development of new programmes, by building priority areas into major policy reviews, and through the infrastructure plan.
  - *Are there any gaps in the areas for future priority?*
  - *Are there other ways of addressing these priorities?*

## **Council's Draft Response to Consultation Questions**

Council's draft response is provided below. These responses have not yet been discussed by Council, and are still therefore draft, but can provide Te Roopu Paehere with an indication of Council's perspective:

1. Council supports the intent of One Plan, and supports the continued development of integrated, long-term planning for the Auckland Region under the principles of the Auckland Sustainability Framework.
2. Council supports the current priorities in One Plan as a reflection of the Region's current priorities. However, such support does not indicate that Council considers the objectives as selected as being sufficient to achieve a sustainable region. Council notes that sustainability often requires a reexamination of current use of resources, and sometimes a major shift in the allocation of funding. However, it is recognized that integrated long-term planning must begin somewhere, and as such, One Plan makes visible how central and local government resources for infrastructure are currently being allocated. This is an important step which provides transparency for future planning.
3. Council supports energy, transport and water being included in this and future versions of One Plan. These infrastructure investments are critical for the long-term sustainability of the region. It is recommended that a process for prioritisation of investment using the principles of the Auckland Sustainability Framework be prepared for the next One Plan.
4. Council is keen to see future versions of One plan address the following in greater detail for the Franklin District:
  - a. Council's public transport needs (Programme 1);
  - b. broadband development (Programme 3); and
  - c. skill development (Programme 7);
  - d. supports the following being included in future versions of One Plan: energy and climate change, public open space, built cultural heritage, social development, Maori and Pacific aspirations.
5. Council has discussed One Plan with its standing committee, Te Roopu Paehere.

A verbal report on matters identified by Te Roopu Paehere at this meeting could be provided to Council at its meeting to be held on 28 August 2008.

### **Conclusion**

- One Plan is the Auckland Region's first single, coherent strategic framework and plan of action for a sustainable future.
- It is being consulted upon in three stages, the first being with local authorities and with Maori through the functions of each local council.

- This report brings One Plan to Te Roopu Paehere as part of the first stage of the consultation and suggests Council's response.
- It is suggested that, if Te Roopu Paehere so wishes, a verbal summary of matters identified by Te Roopu Paehere could be provided to the upcoming Council meeting to be held on 28 August 2008.
- Council supports One Plan in its current version, while recognizing that it is a first step. Council is keen to support future versions of One Plan with an expanded range of programmes, and which recognizes more clearly Franklin's contribution to a sustainable region.

**Consistency with Council Policy/Plans**

The Auckland Region's draft One Plan is consistent with Council's planning for the long-term such as the District Growth Strategy and LTCCP 2006-2016.

**Recommendation of Group Manager: Strategic:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That the Te Roopu Paehere Committee identifies matters it would like verbally reported to Council at its meeting on 28 August 2008 as its response to One Plan.

<b>Approved</b>	
Peer Reviewer	-
Direct Support Officer	CK

**5. DEVELOPMENT CONTRIBUTIONS – PRINCIPLES AND CURRENT STATUS**

Report of Group Manager: Strategic (File F075-09-01):

*For more information on this report, please call Cathy Kenkel, 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable at this stage
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

**Executive Summary**

- At its workshop held on 22 July 2008, the Te Roopu Paehere Committee indicated that it would like a briefing on, and time to discuss, the implications of Council's Development Contributions Policy.

- The current policy is set out in the Annual Plan 2007/2008 on pages 92 to 125. This update came into force on 1 July 2007.
- The policy is currently under review and so it is timely that the Te Roopu Paehere Committee has an opportunity to consider the implications of the policy on Maori in the District.
- A staff member, Bob Russell, Asset Planning Manager, is available to provide a short presentation on Franklin District Council's Development Contributions Policy and to answer any questions.
- This issue is not significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy.

**Background**

A Development Contributions Policy is a new power under the Local Government Act 2002, which enabled local authorities to collect revenue from those who cause the need for additional infrastructure. This revenue must be spent on meeting the costs of growth incurred by the local authority.

Once a policy on Development Contributions is adopted, Council can collect for community infrastructure (halls, libraries); network infrastructure (roading outside the development, water, wastewater, stormwater management); and reserves. The costs of this infrastructure must be indicated in the Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019, and Franklin's case is based on a 20 year timeframe which matches the capacity life of most infrastructure works

**Conclusion**

- This report is in response to the request by the Committee for information on, and time to discuss, Council's Development Contribution Policy.
- A staff member is available to provide a short presentation and answer questions.

**Recommendation of Group Manager: Strategic:**

That the report be received.

<b>Approved</b>	
Peer Reviewer	-
Direct Support Officer	CK

**6. CONSIDERATION OF STRATEGIC DIRECTION AND WORK PROGRAMME**

Report of Group Manager: Strategic (File I750-14):

See Attachment 2 (table of notes from the workshop held on 22 July 2008).

*For more information on this report, please call Cathy Kenkel, 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable at this stage
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

### **Executive Summary**

- This report proposes a work programme for the Te Roopu Paehere Committee's (TRP) consideration. It is based on the workshop held during the TRP meeting on 22 July 2008.
- This report brings back for TRP consideration the results of that workshop. The information from the workshop has been collated into a table (see Attachment 2) which supports the proposed work programme which covers the period August 2008 to June 2009.
- It is expected that TRP will move into a workshop to discuss the proposed work programme.
- This issue is not significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy at this stage, as decisions are being made about a possible work programme only.

### **Background**

At the meet and greet held on 11 July 2008, ideas about the role of TRP in fostering the participation of the Maori communities in local government decision-making were put forward.

The meeting on 22 July 2008 continued the discussion on the role of TRP and identified some areas of current and future concern. Staff were asked to come to the August 2008 meeting with these discussions collated into a proposed work programme.

This report suggests some priorities for the coming year based on project timelines and Council and TRP capacity, and on previous resolutions passed by TRP on matters they wish to address.

The report also includes time to consider the longer term objectives expressed by the members of TRP.

The priorities adopted by TRP will influence the work of Council and will be considered in the development of the draft Long Term Council Community Plan 2009-2019 (LTCCP 2009-2019) and will therefore impact on the social, economic, environmental and cultural wellbeing of current and future Maori communities in the District.

In terms of process, it is expected that some recommendations of TRP will need to be put up to Council for consideration. Subject to the results of such Council consideration, TRP will be able to move forward on its plan.

### **Possible Work Programme for Discussion During Workshop**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Issue/topic</b>
<b>August 2008</b>	
	LTCCP 2009-2019 work programme
	Prepare for East Coast visit – TRP meeting venue, bus trip arrangements, meeting with community, role of Councillors, parallel report to Councillors
	Briefing on Development Contributions policy
	Revolving TRP meeting places between August 2008 and June 2009 – discuss during workshop
	Scoping of Dual Language project
	Update on One Plan and consultation
<b>September 2008</b>	
	LTCCP 2009-2019 – approve consultation for Maori engagement
	LTCCP 2009-2019 – consider development of Maori outcomes (timing, process)
	Relationships with staff and Councillors – work protocols, meeting new Management Team
	Scoping the review of the District Plan 2008-2010 - advice on how to include Maori in decision-making
	Final comments on draft Heritage Strategy
	Determine venues for revolving TRP meeting programme
<b>October 2008</b>	Visit to East Coast – inclusion of councillors as part of regular six monthly catchup opportunities
	LTCCP 2009-2019 – Consider FDC policy development programme for LTCCP 2009-

	2019 and identify areas of TRP focus eg affordable papakainga housing, youth issues
	Review work programme for 2009/2010
	District Plan review
<b>November 2008</b>	
	LTCCP 2009-2019 – comment on pre-draft sections as appropriate
	Long term planning workshop on Maori engagement in decision-making
	Review protocols, discuss any training requirements
<b>December 2008</b>	Continue scoping out action plan for fostering Maori engagement in decision-making
<b>February 2009</b>	Follow up on policy work identified in November 2008
	Adopt action plan for fostering Maori engagement in decision-making
	Consider issues associated with dual language and signage project
<b>March 2009</b>	Consider draft LTCCP 2009-2019
	Consider Matariki celebrations?
	Follow-up on policy work identified in November 2008
<b>April 2009</b>	Six monthly catchup with Councillors?
	Consider draft report on dual language and signage issues
<b>May 2009</b>	Follow-up on policy work identified to date
<b>June 2009</b>	Adopt final report on dual language and signage issues
	Adopt plan for fostering Maori engagement in decision-making

**Conclusion**

- The workshop held on 22 July 2008 has provided staff with considerable direction as to where TRP wishes to focus its attention.
- The report sets out a proposed work programme which allows the Committee to begin to address matters of immediate concern, ensures time is set aside to consider longer term matters, and which is considered to be within the capacity of Council and TRP to effectively deliver. However, the plan needs to be understood as indicative rather than certain.
- The timeline above allows for recommendations on concerns raised by TRP to be considered by Councillors prior to being included in the draft LTCCP 2009-2019 for wider community consultation.

**Consistency with Council Policy/Plans**

A major objective of the proposed work programme is to ensure that the development of future Council policies and strategies includes the appropriate level of Maori engagement in the decision-making processes which support the development of such policies.

**Recommendation of Group Manager: Strategic:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That the Te Roopu Paehere Committee agrees the work programme outlined in the officer's report, with the following amendments:
  - i. ....
  - ii. ....
- c. That the following matters be taken to Council for consideration:
  - i. a plan for the visit to the East Coast by Te Roopu Paehere (to be discussed at the 25 September 2008 Council meeting);
  - ii. opportunities to meet on a six monthly basis informally with Councillors;
  - iii. other matters to be discussed at the workshop.

<b>Approved</b>	
Peer Reviewer	-
Direct Support Officer	CK

**7. DUAL LANGUAGE NAMING AND SIGNAGE**

Report of Group Manager: Strategic (File I750-01):

See Attachment 3.

*For more information on this report, please call Cathy Kenkel, 237 1315.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002; Local Government Act 1974, sections 319 and 320
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	2.1.2 - Road Naming
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

### **Executive Summary**

- The Te Roopu Paehere Committee (Committee) previously directed that an item on dual language naming and signage be included on a future Committee agenda.
- This report explores and scopes the extent of this issue, and seeks direction from the Committee on its desired focus for further investigations, if any.
- This issue is not considered significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy.

### **Background**

At its meeting held on 24 April 2007, the Committee (when formerly named the Maori Community Committee) directed that an item on dual language naming and signage be included on a future Committee agenda (Resolution No. M/2007/4/11).

This report fulfils that resolution of the Committee.

### **Assessment**

When considering the issue of dual naming and signage, a number of different aspects can be identified as follows:

- internal signage;
- new road names;
- changing road names;
- new reserve names (or changing existing names);
- proposing new or changing existing place names;
- dual naming of features/places.

This report will address these aspects and the extent of Council's ability to act in relation to each.

## **Internal Signage**

Council's clearest ability to implement dual language naming and signage relates to internal signage within Council buildings and facilities.

Many public institutions throughout the country have adopted bilingual signs, and bilingual stationery, to demonstrate their commitment to the principles of Te Tiriti o Waitangi or to a more general bicultural process. Bilingual signs help to create a positive attitude towards te reo, and even if the majority of people entering Council buildings have relatively little knowledge of te reo, they are likely to develop an understanding of the information conveyed in these signs. Having signs in Maori also acknowledges the language of tangata whenua and signals a message of welcome.

The development of a new policy from scratch could be expected to take some months in order to scope various options (relating to extent) for dual language signage, the appropriate use of Maori language, and the likely financial impact of such options. Adequate consultation with local iwi and marae over the appropriate range and content of signs would also need to be ensured.

## **New Road Names**

Council has a policy on new road names, Policy 2.1.2 – Road Naming. Copies of this Policy will be available at the meeting.

Paragraph vii of the section on 'Criteria Applicable to New Road Names' provides for "*the use of Maori names when known is encouraged*". However, there is no identified method as to how iwi input is either sought or obtained. The Committee could choose to request that the policy be amended to provide for specific notification to, or consultation with, Te Roopu Paehere or local iwi groups when the naming of new roads is being considered by Council (or its delegated officers).

## **Changing Road Names**

The final section of Policy 2.1.2 relates to changing existing road names. Changing road names is generally not encouraged by Council due to both cost and public inconvenience issues, and is therefore only made where there is considered good reason. One of the good reasons specified is "*issues of cultural sensitivity*".

Requests to change road names generally arise from the community, and **must** take the form of a petition signed by at least 80% of the owners whose properties front the road. Applicants must agree to meet all costs involved in changing the road name.

Unlike new road names, all decisions to change existing road names are made by Council itself, rather than delegated officers.

Should this Committee be dissatisfied with Policy 2.1.2 on Road Naming, whether on principle over the importance assigned to Maori language in that policy; on the process to achieve or encourage iwi input when road names

are being assigned or altered; or on the ability of the process to enable the return to an original Maori name (eg the requirement that 80% of owners fronting a road must support the change before Council will consider it), the Committee would need to request Council to amend the policy to address its identified concern/s.

### **New Reserve Names (or Changing Existing Ones)**

Council has no formal policy surrounding the naming of new reserves. In the past, the naming of new reserves has been addressed through either the management plan process or a one-off report to Council.

The management plan process is contained within the Reserves Act 1977. All reserves (except local purpose reserves) for which Council is the administering body must be covered by an approved management plan.

The Reserves Act 1977 requires that a management plan “*provide for and ensure*” the following:

- the principles set out in sections 17 to 23 that apply to a reserve of the relevant classification (eg recreation, scenic, nature, scientific, government purpose or local purpose reserves);
- compliance with those principles;
- use, enjoyment, maintenance, protection and preservation of the reserve as the case may require;
- development (as appropriate) of the reserve to the extent that Council’s resources permit, for the purpose for which each reserve is classified.

Once a management plan for a reserve is approved by Council, Council is required to comply with the management plan for the reserve and any approved amendments of that plan. The plan cannot, however, regulate the behaviour of anyone other than Council.

Council is required to keep its reserve management plans under continuous review, with the intention that the plan be adapted to changing circumstances and increased knowledge. While the Reserves Act 1977 does not specify an exact period within which a review must be completed, a Reserves Act Guide issued by the Department of Conservation states that, generally, plans should be reviewed at a minimum of ten year intervals. Such a review need not involve a complete rewriting of the plan.

The management plan process generally involves public consultation inviting written suggestions on the proposed plan prior to formal adoption by Council (except where a council determines by resolution that written suggestions on the proposed plan would not materially assist in its preparation).

Two relatively recent reserve management plans are relevant when considering the issue of dual language naming and signage – Tamakae Reserve in 1993 and Hamiltons Gap in 2000.

- **Tamakae Reserve** – prior to 1993, this Reserve was called the ‘George Doherty Historical Reserve’.

A management plan was prepared for the George Doherty Historical Reserve in 1993, and as part of the consultation on that draft plan, a submission was received from Mrs N Minhinnick, custodian for Ngaati Te Ata, Awaroa Ki Manuka. The submission requested that the name of the reserve be changed to Tamakae Reserve.

At the Community Services and Promotion Committee meeting on 21 December 1993, Council resolved that the name Tamakae be adopted for the total reserve area (ie both side of the Waiuku River) and that the area around Hartmann House be known as ‘George Doherty Historical Park’. A subsequent change has been to name the area as ‘George Doherty Green’.

- **Hamiltons Gap/Waimatuku Reserve** – the management plan for Hamiltons Gap involved more of an identification or naming exercise for the reserve donated at the Gap by the Hamilton family, as opposed to the renaming exercise that occurred at Tamakae Reserve. Karl Flavell, Awaroa Environment Ngaati Te Ata, was the primary iwi contact during that exercise.

Council’s Parks Officers: Community Facilities have indicated that a policy on the naming of new reserves is needed, though the Committee may be satisfied at the ability of the management plan process to effect change, where needed, concerning the naming of reserves.

### **Proposing New or Changing Existing Place Names**

Should this Committee’s main focus in dual language naming and signage be in seeking new or changed area or settlement names, Council has no ability to effect change beyond proposing new or changed place names, or supporting or opposing the applications of other groups or individuals.

Anyone can propose a new or altered place name. The process of accepting or rejecting geographical names within New Zealand is the sole responsibility of the New Zealand Geographic Board Nga Pou Taunaha o Aotearoa (the Board). The Board meets approximately every six months and its chief function is to make provision for the naming of places in New Zealand.

A proposal for a new or altered name has a specified process. An application should be made to the Board in the form of a well-documented submission which includes the following information:

- the location of the feature marked on a map with a scale, map grid reference or latitude and longitude (a Land Information New Zealand, or its predecessors, map is preferred);
- the origin, meaning and significance of the name;
- an indication as to whether local Maori authorities have been consulted on the possibility that an original Maori name may already exist for the feature;

- an indication as to whether consultation has been undertaken with the local community, the local council, Department of Conservation, etc (with documentary evidence of support for any name proposal);
- copies of research material gathered;
- reference to any appearance of the name in publications;
- if possible, a photograph of the feature.

Those making submissions are encouraged to undertake the consultation component of the process as the Board may not have the capacity to carry out consultation itself. Consultation adds weight in support of a submission, and while not mandatory is considered a necessary step by the Board towards approving a new place name or changing an existing place name.

Submitters should check the current Geographic Place Names Database for any duplication of proposed names, as the Board's rules state that duplication should be avoided wherever possible as it may cause confusion for search and rescue operations.

As a consequence of the Ngai Tahu Claims Settlement Act 1998, the Board is now required to encourage the use of original Maori place names on official maps, rather than just collecting them as originally tasked. To assist it in this function, and to encourage Maori participation in achieving this objective, the Board developed a Protocol for Maori Place Names which provides for a process of consultation with appropriate Maori.

The Protocol does not change statutory rights for the public in the consideration of place names by the Board, but provides an opportunity for Maori to be advised in advance of other non-Maori New Zealanders in recognition of their status as tangata whenua over the land, and to have more time to gather information, consult and prepare a response to proposed name changes.

The Protocol gives preference to original names, where suitable and allow discretion to retain incorrect Maori names while still recording available details of the correct version. The recording of Maori names and support for the correct pronunciation of those names are also covered, as are other conventions surrounding the use of macrons, double vowels, the capitalisation of tribal names and the avoidance of using hyphens and possessive apostrophes.

The Board has also adopted general rules of nomenclature which act as guiding principles for any naming or name changing proposals.

Names which have historic, geographic or particular local significance are generally acceptable, as are the names of early explorers, discoverers, settlers, surveyors and geologists and early notable people or events which have some connections with the area to be named or changed.

Names of persons will not be given to features during the person's lifetime, and descriptive names can be used provided there is not duplication of the

name in a neighbouring area. Name duplication is avoided, as is the use of the possessive apostrophe.

Names in local usage normally take precedence but may be subject to spelling corrections or alterations if previously known original names are discovered. Names considered to be in poor taste are also avoided.

In May this year (2008), the Board's latest notification of new place names or the alteration of existing place names was published in the New Zealand Gazette.

**Dual Naming of Features/Places**

Without necessarily applying to the Board for a change of place name, Council and local iwi could work together to identify features or places where original Maori names could be added to existing signage to enable original Maori names to become known and gain in general acceptance and usage. This approach would involve a level of cost for the replacement of signs, and would be complicated if the signs concerned were the property/responsibility of another organisation.

Further investigation would be needed as to the cost, feasibility and legality of such an approach.

**Conclusion**

There are many different aspects surrounding the issue of dual language naming and signage, and the Committee will need to consider where its priorities lie in this regard so that future investigations can be targeted at the areas of most concern. Council's resources and ability to act in respect of the Committee's priorities will also need to be taken into account.

**Consistency with Council Policy/Plans**

Council has no existing policy or plans specifically concerning dual language naming and signage, though current Policy 2.1.2 on Road Naming (and the Procedure Manual for Road Naming) briefly addresses the subject of selecting Maori names, or changing names to a Maori name, in respect of roads.

**Recommendations of Policy Analyst:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That the Te Roopu Paehere Committee requests the Chief Executive to direct appropriate staff to prepare a further report focussing on dual language naming and signage topics identified by the Te Roopu Paehere Committee.

<b>Approved</b>	
Peer Reviewer	CK
Direct Support Officer	CK

## 8. TE ROOPU PAEHERE PROPOSED KAIUAU-WHAREKAWA VISIT - OCTOBER 2008

Report of Maori Support Officer (File I750-14):

See Attachment 4.

*For more information on this report, please call Sally Koia, 237 1485.*

<b><u>Statute</u></b>	Local Government Act 2002, sections 76 to 81
<b><u>Directional Statement</u></b>	District governance, pages 5 and 6
<b><u>LTCCP</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Policy/Delegations</u></b>	Te Roopu Paehere brief
<b><u>Significance Policy</u></b>	Not applicable
<b><u>Monitoring</u></b>	Upate to Te Roopu Paehere
<b><u>Budget Impact</u></b>	Not applicable at this stage
<b><u>On Account</u></b>	Not applicable

### **Executive Summary**

- This report requests the Committee to approve a draft programme for a visit to Kaiaua in October 2008.
- This issue is not considered significant in terms of Council's Significance Policy.

### **Background**

At the meeting of the Te Roopu Paehere Committee on 22 July 2008 following the presentation of the Kaiaua-Wharekawa Coastal Compartment Management Plan, a suggested site visits to Kaiaua-Wharekawa was put forward.

### **Assessment**

The purpose of the proposed visit is to:

- allow Te Roopu Paehere the opportunity to view areas under the Coastal Compartment Management Plan;
- to hold a formal monthly meeting of Te Roopu Paehere at a venue in Kaiaua;
- promote an opportunity for Councillors and Te Roopu Paehere to jointly meet.

Potential places to visit are:

- Miranda Wetland;
- stormwater sites;
- recreational and coastal reserve sites; and

- Rural Fire Stations and Emergency Management Services.

The expected time-frame is proposed from 10.00 am to 3.00 pm (see Attachment 4 for draft programme).

**Conclusion**

The draft programme for a visit to Kaiaua has been put forward for the Committee's consideration.

**Recommendation of Maori Support Officer:**

- a. That the report be received.
- b. That the proposed programme (see Attachment 4) for site visits to Kaiaua-Wharekawa be considered and amended accordingly.
- c. That the proposed programme for site visits to Kaiaua-Wharekawa (see Attachment 4) incorporating any amendments made by the Te Roopu Paehere Committee, be provided to Council at its meeting to be held on 25 September 2008 for approval.

<b>Approved</b>	
Direct Support Officer	CK

**CLOSING KARAKIA**

---

S Davis  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE